

GHG savings ⁽²⁵⁾ for solid biomass pathways

Table 95. GHG savings for forest systems producing wood chips. GHG savings are calculated according to the COM(2016) 767. Standard electrical efficiency of 25% and standard thermal efficiency of 85% are applied for biomass pathways. GHG savings are calculated relative to the FFC reported in COM(2016) 767 (also listed in section 7.1 of this report). No land use emissions are included in these results nor are CO₂ emissions from the combustion of biomass or other indirect effects.

	Forest biomass production system	Transport distance	TYPICAL [%]		DEFAULT [%]	
			Heat	Electricity	Heat	Electricity
Woodchips – GHG savings	Forest residues	1 to 500 km	93	89	91	87
		500 to 2500 km	89	84	87	81
		2500 to 10 000 km	82	73	78	67
		Above 10000 km	67	51	60	41
	SRC (Eucalyptus)	2500 to 10 000 km	64	46	61	41
	SRC (Poplar - Fertilised)	1 to 500 km	89	83	87	81
		500 to 2500 km	85	78	84	76
		2500 to 10 000 km	78	67	74	62
		Above 10000 km	63	45	57	35
	SRC (Poplar – No fertilisation)	1 to 500 km	91	87	90	85
		500 to 2500 km	88	82	86	79
		2500 to 10 000 km	80	70	77	65
		Above 10000 km	65	48	59	39
	Stemwood	1 to 500 km	93	89	92	88
		500 to 2500 km	90	85	88	82
		2500 to 10 000 km	82	73	79	68
2500 to 10 000 km		67	51	61	42	
Wood industry residues	1 to 500 km	94	92	93	90	
	500 to 2500 km	91	87	90	85	
	2500 to 10 000 km	83	75	80	71	
		Above 10000 km	69	54	63	44

⁽²⁵⁾ The use of 'GHG savings' as a metric to assess climate change mitigation effects of bioenergy pathways compared to fossil fuels has been designed and defined by the EU in several legislative documents (RED, FQD, COM(2010) 11, COM(2016) 767). While this may have merits of simplicity and clarity for regulatory purposes, it should be remembered that: "*analyses that report climate-mitigation effects based on Attributional LCA generally have assumed away all indirect and scale effects on CO₂-eq emission factors and on activity within and beyond the targeted sector. Unfortunately, there is no theoretical or empirical basis for treating indirect and scale effects as negligible.*" (Plevin et al., 2013)

GHG savings ⁽²⁵⁾ for solid biomass pathways

Table 95. GHG savings for forest systems producing wood chips. GHG savings are calculated according to the COM(2016) 767. Standard electrical efficiency of 25% and standard thermal efficiency of 85% are applied for biomass pathways. GHG savings are calculated relative to the FFC reported in COM(2016) 767 (also listed in section 7.1 of this report). No land use emissions are included in these results nor are CO₂ emissions from the combustion of biomass or other indirect effects.

	Forest biomass production system	Transport distance	TYPICAL [%]		DEFAULT [%]	
			Heat	Electricity	Heat	Electricity
Woodchips – GHG savings	Forest residues	1 to 500 km	93	89	91	87
		500 to 2500 km	89	84	87	81
		2500 to 10 000 km	82	73	78	67
		Above 10000 km	67	51	60	41
	SRC (Eucalyptus)	2500 to 10 000 km	64	46	61	41
	SRC (Poplar - Fertilised)	1 to 500 km	89	83	87	81
		500 to 2500 km	85	78	84	76
		2500 to 10 000 km	78	67	74	62
		Above 10000 km	63	45	57	35
	SRC (Poplar – No fertilisation)	1 to 500 km	91	87	90	85
		500 to 2500 km	88	82	86	79
		2500 to 10 000 km	80	70	77	65
		Above 10000 km	65	48	59	39
	Stemwood	1 to 500 km	93	89	92	88
		500 to 2500 km	90	85	88	82
		2500 to 10 000 km	82	73	79	68
2500 to 10 000 km		67	51	61	42	
Wood industry residues	1 to 500 km	94	92	93	90	
	500 to 2500 km	91	87	90	85	
	2500 to 10 000 km	83	75	80	71	
		Above 10000 km	69	54	63	44

⁽²⁵⁾ The use of 'GHG savings' as a metric to assess climate change mitigation effects of bioenergy pathways compared to fossil fuels has been designed and defined by the EU in several legislative documents (RED, FQD, COM(2010) 11, COM(2016) 767). While this may have merits of simplicity and clarity for regulatory purposes, it should be remembered that: "*analyses that report climate-mitigation effects based on Attributional LCA generally have assumed away all indirect and scale effects on CO₂-eq emission factors and on activity within and beyond the targeted sector. Unfortunately, there is no theoretical or empirical basis for treating indirect and scale effects as negligible.*" (Plevin et al., 2013)

Table 96. GHG savings for forest systems producing wood pellets or briquettes (Part 1). GHG savings are calculated according to the COM(2016) 767. Standard electrical efficiency of 25% and thermal efficiency of 85% are applied. GHG savings are calculated relative to the FFC reported in COM(2016) 767 (also listed in section 7.1 of this report). No land use emissions are included in these results nor are CO₂ emissions from the combustion of biomass or other indirect effects.

	Forest biomass production system	Transport distance	TYPICAL [%]		DEFAULT [%]		
			Heat	Electricity	Heat	Electricity	
Wood pellets – GHG savings (Part 1)	Forest residues	case 1	1 to 500 km	58	37	49	24
			500 to 2500 km	58	37	49	25
			2500 to 10000 km	55	34	47	21
			Above 10000 km	50	26	40	11
		case 2a	1 to 500 km	77	66	72	59
			500 to 2500 km	77	66	72	59
			2500 to 10000 km	75	62	70	55
			Above 10000 km	69	54	63	45
		case 3a	1 to 500 km	92	88	90	85
			500 to 2500 km	92	88	90	86
			2500 to 10000 km	90	85	88	81
			Above 10000 km	84	76	81	72
	SRC (Eucalyptus)	case 1	2500 to 10000 km	40	11	32	-2
		case 2a	2500 to 10000 km	56	34	51	27
		case 3a	500 to 10000 km	70	55	68	53
	SRC Poplar (Fertilised)	case 1	1 to 500 km	54	32	46	20
500 to 10000 km			52	29	44	16	
Above 10000 km			47	21	37	7	
case 2a		1 to 500 km	73	60	69	54	
		500 to 10000 km	71	57	67	50	
		Above 10000 km	66	49	60	41	
case 3a		1 to 500 km	88	82	87	81	
		500 to 10000 km	86	79	84	77	
		Above 10000 km	80	71	78	67	

Case 1 refers to pathways in which a natural gas boiler is used to provide the process heat to the pellet mill. Process electricity is purchased from the grid.

Case 2a refers to pathways in which a boiler fuelled with pre-dried wood chips is used to provide the process heat to the pellet mill. Process electricity is purchased from the grid.

Case 3a refers to pathways in which a CHP, fuelled with pre-dried wood chips, is used to provide heat and power to the pellet mill.

Table 97. GHG savings for forest systems producing wood pellets or briquettes (Part 2). GHG savings are calculated according to the COM(2016) 767. Standard electrical efficiency of 25% and thermal efficiency of 85% are applied. GHG savings are calculated relative to the FFC reported in COM(2016) 767 (also listed in section 7.1 of this report). No land use emissions are included in these results nor are CO₂ emissions from the combustion of biomass or other indirect effects.

	Forest biomass production system	Transport distance	TYPICAL		DEFAULT	
			[%]		[%]	
			Heat	Electricity	Heat	Electricity
Wood pellets – GHG savings (Part 2)	SRC Poplar (No fertilisation)	1 to 500 km	56	35	48	23
		case 1 500 to 10000 km	54	32	46	20
		Above 10000 km	49	24	40	10
		1 to 500 km	76	64	72	58
		case 2a 500 to 10000 km	74	61	69	54
		Above 10000 km	68	53	63	45
	case 3a	1 to 500 km	91	86	90	85
	500 to 10000 km	89	83	87	81	
	Above 10000 km	83	75	81	71	
Stemwood	case 1	1 to 500 km	57	37	49	24
		500 to 2500 km	58	37	49	25
		2500 to 10000 km	55	34	47	21
		Above 10000 km	50	26	40	11
	case 2a	1 to 500 km	77	66	73	60
		500 to 2500 km	77	66	73	60
		2500 to 10000 km	75	63	70	56
		Above 10000 km	70	55	64	46
	case 3a	1 to 500 km	92	88	91	86
		500 to 2500 km	92	88	91	87
		2500 to 10000 km	90	85	88	83
		Above 10000 km	84	77	82	73
Wood industry residues	case 1	1 to 500 km	75	62	69	55
		500 to 2500 km	75	62	70	55
		2500 to 10000 km	72	59	67	51
		Above 10000 km	67	51	61	42
	case 2a	1 to 500 km	87	80	84	76
		500 to 2500 km	87	80	84	77
		2500 to 10000 km	85	77	82	73
		Above 10000 km	79	69	75	63
	case 3a	1 to 500 km	95	93	94	91
		500 to 2500 km	95	93	94	92
		2500 to 10000 km	93	90	92	88
		Above 10000 km	88	82	85	78

Table 98. GHG savings for agricultural biomass systems. GHG savings are calculated according to the COM(2016) 767. Standard electrical efficiency of 25% and thermal efficiency of 85% are applied. GHG savings are calculated relative to the FFC reported in COM(2016) 767 (also listed in section 7.1 of this report). No land use emissions are included in these results nor are CO₂ emissions from the combustion of biomass or other indirect effects. Negative values indicate that the bioenergy pathway emits more than the fossil comparator.

Agricultural systems – GHG savings	Agriculture biomass production system	Transport distance	TYPICAL [%]		DEFAULT [%]	
			Heat	Electricity	Heat	Electricity
Agricultural Residues with density <0.2 t/m³ ⁽²⁶⁾	1 to 500 km	95	92	93	90	
	500 to 2500 km	89	83	86	80	
	2500 to 10 000 km	77	66	73	60	
	Above 10000 km	57	36	48	23	
Agricultural Residues with density > 0.2 t/m³ ⁽²⁷⁾	1 to 500 km	95	92	93	90	
	500 to 2500 km	93	89	92	87	
	2500 to 10 000 km	88	82	85	78	
	Above 10000 km	78	68	74	61	
Straw pellets	1 to 500 km	88	82	85	78	
	500 to 10000 km	86	79	83	74	
	Above 10000 km	80	70	76	64	
Bagasse briquettes	500 to 10 000 km	93	89	91	87	
	Above 10 000 km	87	81	85	77	
Palm Kernel Meal	Above 10000 km	20	-18	11	-33	
Palm Kernel Meal (no CH₄ emissions from oil mill)	Above 10000 km	46	20	42	14	

⁽²⁶⁾ This group of materials includes agricultural residues with a low bulk density and it comprises materials such as straw bales, oat hulls, rice husks and sugar cane bagasse bales (not exhaustive list).

⁽²⁷⁾ The group of agricultural residues with higher bulk density includes materials such as corn cobs, nut shells, soybean hulls, palm kernel shells (not exhaustive list).

Figure 6. Illustration of GHG supply chain emissions compared to reference fossil fuel emissions for the most representative solid biomass pathways (values reported in Table 95 to Table 98). Values exclude combustion and all emissions and removals of biogenic carbon in the supply chain, except methane. Values are based on the default GHG emission values. SRC = Short Rotation Coppice. a) The calculations are based on greenhouse gas data from eucalyptus cultivation in tropical areas. b) Data are based on poplar cultivated in EU without any synthetic fertilization. c) Stemwood (NG) = pellets produced using natural gas as process fuel, all the other pathways are based on wood as process fuel (case 2a).

